Job Invocation Interoperability between NAREGI Middleware Beta and gLite

Hidemoto Nakada (AIST), Kazushige Saga (NII), Yuji Saeki (NII),

, Hitoshi Sato(Titech), Masayuki Hatanaka (Fujitsu), Satoshi Matsuoka (Titech, NII)





National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology

Background



Background (2)

OGF(Open Grid Forum) GIN-CG Grid Interoperation Now Community Group

Try to make grid middleware stacks interoperable using currently available technologies





- As a part of GIN-CG, perform interoperation experiments between the following two grid middleware stacks
 - NAREGI Middleware Beta
 - ►gLite from EGEE

Interoperability

- Security Mechanisms
- Information Service
- Job Submission



Large-scale Data Transfer



Outline

Architecture of the Grid middleware stacks

NAREGI Middleware beta



Strategies for interoperation and implementation







What are 'grid middleware stacks'

Assumptions

- Each 'grid' involves several 'sites'.
- Each 'site' has several computers managed by some kind of 'local scheduler'

Grid middleware stacks

- Get job execution request from users and dispatch them to 'proper' site, securely.
 - Proper' load distribution, Virtual Organization Management
 - Secure' Authentication, Authorization
- Local schedulers are responsible for load distribution inside the sites.





General configuration of Grid Middleware Stacks



NAREGI Middleware beta

The second generation of the grid middleware developed by NAREGI

▶alpha: developed in 2004

Based on UNICORE

beta: developed 2005 -

Based on WSRF

Conforms OGF standards

Outstanding features

- Workflow management
- Parallel job execution over multiple sites



Quitomatic job partitioning and resource allocation

NAREGI Middleware beta overview



Overview of NAREGI Information Service







Overview of EGEE gLite

Grid middleware stack from EGEE (Enabling Grids for E-Science in Europe)

Employs Condor modules in several way

- ▶Condor
 - Batch queuing system developed by Wisconsin Univ.
- Brokering based on Condor 'Match making'
- ►Job submission by Condor-C





Overview of gLite



gLite-CE job Submission Details



Outline



Strategy for interoperation and implementation

Measurement Results





Requirements for mutual job submission

Authentication and Authorization Interoperation

Security Infrastructure

All the other components relies on it @Crucial for interoperation

Information Service Interoperation

Look up the resources on the other middleware stack



Job Submission Interoperation



Authentication, Authorization Interoperation

Authentication

- ▶'Who are you'
- PKI based authentication is generally used

Authentication

- ▶'What can you do'
- Virtual Organization Management

Fortunately, we did not have any issues on this.



Authentication – GSI is used

Virtual Organization Management - VOMS/ST

Interoperability for Information Service







3 ways for mutual job submission



JobManager -> Broker

Easy to enforce callee grid policies

▶ Slowest



Design of mutual job submission

Where to have bridges?
 Points that have standard interface are preferable

Library is available Interface is defined and published

Proprietary interface











NAREGI→gLite

Developped a SC that calls LCG-CE(GRAM2) instead of GridVM

- SCs are designed as dynamically loadable independent modules
- Problem: GRAM2 does not provide reservation capability

@Solution : SC just pretend to make reservation

Automatic selection of SC, based on information provided by the information service



►Hidden from users



gLite -> NAREGI







Implementation details



Implementation details



BLAHP Protocol

Text-based protocol for intermediate processes

Based on GAHP, with command set

- GAHP(Globus Ascii Helper Protocol) initially desinged to call Globus modules from Condor
- Based on UNICORE GAHP(Nakada '04) Command set
 - BLAH_JOB_SUBMIT
 - BLAH_JOB_STATUS
 - ►BLAH_JOB_CANCEL



We could 'reuse' UNICORE GAHPD codes

Problems solved (1)



Problems solved (2)

Limitation for proxy certificates delegation times

- Proxy certs. uses intermediated CA mechanism internally
- Theoretically, there is no limitation for delegation times
- Gridftp implementation by Globus has a bug
 @openssh library used in Globus had the default limitation number of intermediate CAs
 @Can be easily fixed



Solution

@Patched the gridftp



Outline



Strategies for interoperation and implementation

Measurement Results





Experiments

Measured elapsed time for mutual job submission.

Also measured job submission with in each middleware stacks

Average time of 10 measurements

Environment

All the nodes are located in a NAREGI campus





Experimental results



Setups

Pentium 4 Xeon 3GHz dual, Mem. 1Gbyte, RedHat 8
 Network 1000base-T





Outline



Strategy for interoperation and implementation

Measurement Results





Conclusion

Performed job submission interoperation experiments between NAREGI Middleware beta and EGEE gLite

No issues on certs. and VO management

- Differences in information service layer could be managed
- Mutual job submission could be successfully performed with proper bridging modules





Future Work

- Precise measurement and analysis
- Experiments on Production systems
 - Confirm interoperability using VOMS in production
 - Investigate effects of latency between Japan and Europe
- More sophisticated mutual job submission
 - Having NxN bridges are not good idea
 - To have standardized Job submission interface will be the best





Thank you

Acknowledgement:

A part of this research was supported by a grant from the Ministry of Education, Sports, Culture, Science, and Technology (MEXT) of Japan through the NAREGI (National Research Grid Initiative) Project.



